

Dear Donna,

I'm writing to follow-up on our brief phone call from Friday, August 20, 2021.

Frankly, to be completely honest, I was absolutely stunned by what you told me when I asked why you had still never returned any of my calls as you had promised on multiple occasions.

The first message you left me was on May 19th, over three months ago. You said you heard I had called, and you were returning my call to help answer some of my questions.

You asked me to send you the relevant information and evidence, as well as what I was trying to get clarity on, and I did this about a week or so after our initial call (I am happy to provide records of any of these dates if you need to review).

Keep in mind, that all I ever asked you to do was to connect me with the person who can answer any of those questions. I didn't expect you to solve it, but rather to just fulfill your role as media director and tell me who I need to speak to.

I provided you with [ironclad evidence of manipulative behavior](#) on behalf of CFTC acting commissioner Rostin Behnam, and you promised me on several occasions that you would look into it and get back to me by a certain date. As we are both well aware, all of those dates came and passed, and you never called. Not even to say that you needed more time, but you just never called at all to say anything.

Finally, when I called you that last time in June (prior to our brief phone call Friday August 20), I expressed my frustration, which given the history and context, I feel was respectfully controlled, yet justified.

Acting commissioner Behnam regularly "brags" about being transparent, but has completely disappeared ever since he got caught with his hand in the cookie jar, and now nobody even hears from him unless he's out there selling more climate warming derivatives. And while I tend to think that you are not involved in the things that these guys are doing, to this point you have either intentionally or unintentionally obstructed justice, in what will shortly be known as the greatest financial scandal in the history of Wall Street.

So when you told me last Friday that the reason you never called me back after our last call was because you just assumed I just didn't want to hear from you, I hope you realize that's the financial equivalent of somebody committing murder, the victim's family repeatedly asking for information, getting absolutely none, then getting frustrated when they don't get one, and then ultimately, you just tell them

you decided not to call them back because you thought they didn't want to hear from you.

With all due respect, you are the media representative of the CFTC. The agency charged with maintaining the integrity of the commodity markets that affect all of the currencies and products we use, which impacts every single person in the world.

Yet after repeatedly asking you to simply just direct me to the person who can explain how Rostin Behnam's comments could be construed as anything other than price-fixing, you decided to just simply forget about it?

It actually took a day or two for that one to sink in.

Although the more I think about it, the more absolutely stunned I am that you actually even said that to me.

As mentioned earlier, I still tend to believe that you're not directly involved in the fraudulent activity that the people who run your office regularly participate in. But the evidence is increasingly mounting that you are either running cover for them, or just simply refusing to do your job.

But a lot of people are being robbed while this is going on. And since I've gone out of my way to explain the importance of what's at stake here, I feel at this point that we're past being able to call this a miscommunication, a matter of being busy, or not having enough time.

In June when I called you, you actually told me the reason you didn't call me back that time was because of Umpteenth Day!

I wonder how the people who have had their money stolen for decades while this crime has continued would feel if they heard about that.

How do you think a judge and jury would respond if that was brought up during a trial?

Do you think they would find Umpteenth day a valid reason to ignore a crime that's been occurring for decades that you knowingly had clear evidence of, but refused to act on?

How do you think you would feel if you had to face the victims of this crime, who are justifiably quite angry at this point, and explain to them why you chose Umpteenth day and whatever else you've chosen rather than addressing the most important issue currently facing the entire global financial system, as well as your agency?

What is it that you could have possibly been doing that was more important than this?

On February 12, I submitted detailed evidence about a specific crime that occurred on February 2nd, just 10 days later. This is despite not actually being employed by your agency. Yet while I was running my own business during perhaps the busiest time of my entire life, I did the work of the CFTC as well, yet months later, the theft continues, and neither Rostin, any of the other CFTC representatives, or you, ever seem to be able to find the time to even acknowledge it.

This might be ok if we were talking about the local softball league. But this is people's livelihoods, their hard-earned savings, the integrity of our financial system, and you are the media representative of this government agency that has sworn a public oath.

Yet after months of asking politely and respectfully, you've STILL to this point decided to completely blow it off.

Please know that I decided to wait a week before sending this message, in hopes that you would honor your word after our most recent phone call. Yet since you are receiving this letter, that means that you have not.

In other words, you lied to me again.

You also wasted my time again, which is what I was so upset about when I spoke to you in June. And now you've made clear that you either don't care, or are actively committing a crime.

So I am sorry it became necessary to send this message, as I really did do everything in my power to avoid ending up in this situation.

But there are people who have been waiting 41 years for your office to display even a scant amount of integrity or desire to fulfill the oath you've sworn to protect, and if even after three months you still refuse to tell me who I can talk to, that no longer sounds like an "innocent mistake."

If you want to offer any response, you know how to reach me, and I will listen to what you have to say. But if you decide that you simply have more important things to do, and that you're not only going to violate your own word, but also the trust of the American people, I will include you, along with detailed records of our communications, as an accomplice to this crime as I move legal action forward.

I had really hoped that would not be necessary. I thought you sounded like a good person, and I heard it in your voice. But the clock is ticking now. And I'd suggest

that the people that you might think you are protecting, and that you might think are going to protect you, have most likely already been caught, and will turn on you when this goes bad.

There is still a chance for you to be the hero here, and I really do genuinely hope that's the path you will choose.

However, if I have not received sufficient answers to my questions, or at least the contact information of who can answer them by the end of this week, I will broadcast this letter publicly. Because if you are going to participate in this crime, the public has a right to know about it, and I will encourage them to report you specifically by name to any legal and government authorities as appropriate.

Again, as someone who does genuinely care about people and would much rather be able to focus his time on more positive things in life, I do hope you help us all to avoid that outcome.

Sincerely,
Chris Marcus